

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,815	07/15/2003	Jeffery R. Parker	GLOLP0108USF 7649		
7:	590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER		
Donald L. Otto			SEMBER, THOMAS M		
Renner, Otto, E	Boisselle & Sklar, LLP		D. DED . W. LOED		
19th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1621 Euclid Av	enue	2875			
Cleveland, OH	eveland, OH 44115-2191  DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006		6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		315	PARKER ET AL.				
		er	Art Unit				
		M. Sember	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this commu. Period for Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	3S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>30 January 20</u>	<u>06</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the prac	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 10,16,51-56 and 74-78 is/							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,11-15</u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9,11-15,17-50 and 57-73 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,16,51-56 and 74-78</u> is/	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,16,51-56 and 74-78</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
" See the attached detailed Office act	on for a list of the ce	uned copies not receive	,u.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(DTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2875

#### Response to Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 1. Claims 16, 55-56 and 74-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 16, 55-56 and 74-78 are not supported by the original drawings and disclosure of elected species of figures 39-40

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The applicant elected the species of figures 39-40. Therefore, the plurality of light sources claimed in claims 16 and 55-56 and the intersecting deformities claimed in 74-78 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2875

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

2. The amendment filed 01/30/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:. The species of figures 39-40 contain intersecting deformities.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2875

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10, 51, 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albinger Jr. Albinger Jr. discloses a light emitting panel member having at least one input edge (2), at least one light source (8) optically coupled to a portion of the width of the input edge, and a pattern of individual light extracting deformities (10) on or in at least one panel surface of the panel member for producing a desired light output from the panel member, each of the deformities (10) having a length and width substantially smaller than the length and width of the panel surface and also having a well defined shape, As broadly claimed, a majority of the deformities at different locations across the width and length on the panel surface having at least one light extracting surface that is angled at different orientations relative to the input edge depending on the location of the deformities on the panel surface to face the portion of the input edge to which the light source is optically coupled.

Regarding claim 10, a majority of the deformities are arranged in radial rows in a radial pattern across the width and length of the panel surface with the light extracting surface of the deformities in each radial row in radial alignment (see figure 1) with the portion of the input edge to which the light source is optically coupled.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 53, the light extracting surface of at least some of the deformities is curved (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 54, the light extracting surface of at least some of the deformities is planar (see figure 1).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 16 and 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albinger Jr. in view of Pritash et al '108. Albinger Jr discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the light source is a plurality of light sources or an LED. Pritash et al '108 teaches that a variety of light sources can be used to illuminated an edge lit panel including LEDs. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an LED or a plurality of LEDs as taught by Pritash et al '108 for the light source of Albinger Jr. in order to provide a more efficient longer lasting low voltage light source.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/619,815 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albinger Jr. in view of Pritash et al '108. Albinger Jr. discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the light source is an LED. Pritash et al '108 teaches that a variety of light sources can be used to illuminated an edge lit panel including LEDs. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an LED or LEDs as taught by Pritash et al '108 for the light source of Albinger Jr. in order to provide a more efficient longer lasting low voltage light source.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 01/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "In no event do a majority of the deformities at different locations across the width and length of the panel surface of Albinger, Jr. have at least one light extracting surface that is angled at different orientations relative to the input edge depending on the location of the deformities on the panel surface to face the portion of the input edge to which the light source is optically coupled as recited in claim 51. Accordingly, claim 51 is submitted as clearly allowable." Figure 1 of Albinger, Jr. clearly shows "light extracting surface that is angled at different orientations relative to the input edge depending on the location of the deformities 10 on the panel surface to face the portion of the input edge to which the light source is optically coupled."

Application/Control Number: 10/619,815 Page 7

Art Unit: 2875

8. Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10, 16 and 53-55, the examiner disagrees that Albinger et al fails to teach deformities arranged in radial rows in a radial pattern. Clearly Albinger, Jr. teaches deformities 10 which are arranged in radial rows in a radial pattern as shown in figure 1.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/619,815 Page 8

Art Unit: 2875

272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875